

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
Emanuel A. Hendriks	7016S-000002	5951		
15/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303		NOVOSAD, CHRISTOPHER J		
		PAPER NUMBER		
48303		THE EN HOMEEN		
		14		
): /	Emanuel A. Hendriks VI5/2004 ERCE, P.L.C.	203 Emanuel A. Hendriks 7016S-000002 V15/2004 EXAM ERCE, P.L.C. NOVOSAD, CI		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			n
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	10/619,537	HENDRIKS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher J. Novosad	3671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	'		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 24-29 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 15-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 413)	
 Notice of References Ched (PTO-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/08/03, 01/05/04(3 54¢£T= To7AL 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		

Application/Control Number: 10/619,537

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2 and 15-21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tyetene et al.

Note the abstract, figures, col. 16, lines 14-25 and 36-41.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zinn.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,537

Art Unit: 3671

Note the abstract, figures; col. 5, lines 39-53; col. 6, lines 34-47; and col. 7, lines 34-48.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by European reference '429.

Note the abstract, figures and paragraphs 0018 and 0033.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hutchison.

Note the abstract and figures of Hutchison.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tvetene *et al.* in view of Schouten.

Tvetene et al. show the structure of the apparatus as noted.

The claims distinguish over Tvetene *et al.* in requiring (1) the accumulator to comprise a retractable portion which allows an operator to return a rejected sod roll to the sod field (as required in claim 3); (2) a ramp to be disposed below the retractable portion (as required in claim 4); and (3) the robotic arm to comprise a horizontal pick-up head having a plurality of gripper modules, each gripper module being configured to engage a separate individual sod roll (as required in claim 5) and (4) the accumulator to comprise a retractor mechanism which retracts a portion of the accumulator from a first position to a second position, wherein a sod roll will drop

Application/Control Number: 10/619,537

Art Unit: 3671

to the sod field when the portion of the accumulator is in its first position (as required in claim 22).

Note the abstract, figures and the disclosure in col. 9, lines 14-19 of Schouten.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the apparatus of Tvetene *et al.* with the structure noted of Schouten for greater user convenience and ease of operation and ease of sod roll handling.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-14 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 24-29 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher J. Novosad whose telephone number is 703-308-2246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 5:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached at 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher A Novosad

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3671

October 7, 2004